arXiv:astro-ph/0302374v3 12 May 2003

The Vela Pulsar's proper motion and parallax derived from VLBI observations

R. Dodson[1,3] D. Legge[1] J.E. Reynolds[2] and P.M. McCulloch[1]

currently at ISAS, Japan

rdodson@vsop.isas.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

The Vela pulsar is the brightest pulsar at radio wavelengths. It was the object that told us (via its glitching) that pulsars were solid rotating bodies not oscillating ones. Along with the Crab pulsar is it the source of many of the models of pulsar behavior. Therefore it is of vital importance to know how far away it is, and its origin.

The proper motion and parallax for the Vela pulsar have been derived from 2.3 and 8.4 GHz Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) observations. The data spans 6.8 years and consists of eleven epochs. We find a proper motion of $\mu_{\alpha\cos\delta} = -49.61 \pm 0.06,\ \mu_\delta = 29.8 \pm 0.1$ mas yr^{-1} and a parallax of 3.4 ± 0.2 mas, which is equivalent to a distance of 293^{+19}_{-17} pc. When we subtract out the galactic rotation and solar peculiar velocity we find $\mu_* = 45 \pm 1.3$ mas yr^{-1} with a position angle (PA) of $301^\circ \pm 1.8$ which implies that the proper motion has a small but significant offset from the X-ray nebula's symmetry axis.

1. Introduction

The Vela pulsar is an archetypal young pulsar. It was the first to be observed to glitch (Radhakrishnan & Manchester 1969) and was always associated with the large Vela supernova remnant (SNR). Since Vela is a young pulsar and displays a significant amount of glitching behavior and timing noise (Cordes et al. 1988), no accurate proper motion measurements from timing observations have been possible; it is only through direct proper motion

[1]School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Tasmania, Australia

[2]Parkes Observatory, PO Box 276, Parkes, NSW 2870, Australia

[3]ISAS, Yoshinodai 3-1-1 , Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229-8510, Japan

observations that we can trace its path on the sky. This is true of nearly all young pulsars, which are usually the most interesting. These have, for example, the possibility of discovering the associated birth SNR. The first accurate measurements of Vela's proper motion were produced using the Parkes-Tidbinbilla Interferometer (PTI) (Bailes et al. 1989). This 275 km baseline at 1.6 GHz gave a resolution of 140 mas. This provided the first accurate measurement of the proper motion and thus, by extrapolation, the birth site. We have extended this work to allow the third dimension to be derived via the parallax. Measurement of relative motion on milli-arcsecond scales is a challenging task and several attempts have been made, with various success. Previous observations have been made with ground based optical observations, with connected radio interferometers (PTI and the VLA) and the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). We now present results from the Australian Long baseline array (LBA).

We have 18 observations of the position and can provide the most accurate measurements of parallax and proper motion. Recent measurements of the position of Vela against a number of background sources has been reported by De Luca et al. (2000); Caraveo et al. (2001). Our more accurate values, using completely independent methods, are compared with theirs, confirming the parallax values. Differences in the derivation of the proper motion are discussed. We find good agreement if we account for the probable galactic rotation of the reference stars.

The distance to the Vela pulsar was originally estimated from the SNR distance by comparison to the Cygnus loop and IC443. This lead to an estimate of 500 pc (Milne 1968), however it has long been argued that this is an overestimate. Our results have been foreshadowed by the predictions in several papers that have made the case for the distance to the Vela pulsar to be drastically reduced. Analysis of X-ray observations of the pulsar made with ROSAT (Page et al. 1996) and Chandra (Pavlov et al. 2001b), of the SNR also with ROSAT (Bocchino et al. 1999) and with optical absorption (Jenkins & Wallerstein 1995; Cha et al. 1999) have all suggested that the original distance estimate should perhaps be halved. All of these results, however, are to some extent model dependent and therefore doubt has existed over their accuracy.

Furthermore, our results allow the testing of the prediction of Spruit & Phinney (1998) on the alignment of the spin axes of pulsars with their proper motion vector. The spin axis can be derived from the high resolution images from Chandra. These allow the symmetry, and thus presumably the spin, axes to be directly discerned. Only two cases have been tested so far, the Crab (Caraveo & Mignani 1999) and Vela. The extra accuracy we can provide refines the conclusion reached by Pavlov et al. (2000); Helfand et al. (2001) for the Vela alignment. A note of caution should be raised in that an alternate explanation for the

X-ray nebula around Vela has been put forward (Radhakrishnan & Deshpande 2001) which explains the structure in terms of the particle beam from the polar cap. This description requires that only the projected spin axis lies along the proper motion axis. If the model of Spruit & Phinney (1998) is correct any misalignment of the axes allows the us to estimate the timescale of the impulse in terms of the initial rotation period, a value of great importance to understanding the core collapse. The accuracy, therefore, of the alignment of the axes is of great theoretical importance.

2. Observations and Data reduction

The LBA array is an Australian national facility and is usually made up of six telescopes, three operated by the CSIRO (Australian Telescope Compact Array, Parkes and Mopra), two by the University of Tasmania (Hobart and Ceduna) and one in South Africa operated by the Hartebeesthoek Radio Astronomical observatory. In addition DSN telescopes at Tidbinbilla are often included. The observations reported here are between the Tidbinbilla 70m and the Hobart 26m antennae, a baseline of 832 km and at two 16-MHz wide frequencies; 2.29 and 8.425-GHz. In addition there was one observation with the Australian array, 32-MHz centered on 8.417-GHz, although only the Hobart to Tidbinbilla data was directly used for the results in this paper. Details of all the telescopes and their parameters are available via the Australian Telescope National Facility (ATNF) website[4]. All data were recorded using beam-switching on a $\sim$5 minute cycle, using the extra galactic phase-reference source Vela-G ($\alpha_{J2000} = 08^h33^m22^s.31563$, $\delta_{J2000} = -44°41'38''.71463$). Vela-G is part of the Radio Reference Frame and was an International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF) candidate source (Ma et al. 1998).

The first three epochs observations of the Vela pulsar (Table 1) were made using MkIII/MkIIIA VLBI recording systems, using recording mode B, which provides 14 contiguous frequency channels each 2 MHz wide in right-hand circular polarization at 2.3 GHz. Sampling was one-bit (two-level) for all data. None of the Mark III/IIIA observations used the pulsar gating nor binning described later. Only the MkIII positions were available for this analysis. The MkIII/MkIIIA VLBI data were processed at the Washington Mark IIIA correlator, located at the U.S. Naval Observatory (USNO). Phase models were applied with the CALC 6.0 package, supplying the best possible values of station locations, clock models and earth orientation parameters. The raw data were then exported in "FRNGX" format and further analyzed following the phase-referencing technique described by Lestrade et al.

[4]http://www.atnf.csiro.au/vlbi

(1990), and used the software SPRINT, developed by J.-F. Lestrade.

The S2 recordings system and correlator for LBA were commissioned in the mid-1990s and observations were transferred to this system. In all observations, two separate frequency channels of 16 MHz bandwidth were recorded with two-bit (four-level) sampling. Most of the observations of the Vela pulsar using the S2 system were made with one 16 MHz channel at 2.3 GHz (13 cm) and one at 8.4 GHz (3 cm), taking advantage of the dual 13/3 cm (right-circular polarization) receivers at both Hobart and Tidbinbilla. In the final epoch, using the whole LBA at 8.4 GHz, two 16 MHz channels were used to form one contiguous 32 MHz band.

The S2 correlator is operated by ATNF at its Marsfield headquarters in Sydney. This wonderfully flexible device can pulsar bin (as opposed to pulsar gate) with thirty-two bins across the Vela pulsar's period, thereby limiting the dispersion to individual channels rather than the entire band. There is, however, no significant dispersion at these observing frequencies for Vela. We used only those pulsar bins containing significant flux, usually one or two out of thirty two, gaining up to a factor of 5.6 in signal to noise.

Post correlation the data were fringe fitted at the nominal pulsar position using the binned flux, with ten minute solution interval in AIPS[5], and then exported. Further processing was done with DIFMAP (Shepherd 1997). The data were flagged and averaged to generate a statistical weight. The offsets of Vela-G from its nominal reference position were found by fitting a point source to the visibilities. The position of Vela was shifted (phase rotated) to correct for these offsets. We then selfcalibrated the Vela phases in AIPS at 5 minutes intervals, and copied those corrections to the Vela-G visibilities. The final offsets of Vela-G from the reference position, all very small, were used to calculate the final Vela position.

Lobe ambiguity was not an issue even though this was a single baseline experiment, as observing with two widely separated frequencies broke any degeneracy. The final observation, which was with two 8.4-GHz bandpasses, had multiple baselines with which to identify the correct lobe. Only the Tidbinbilla to Hobart baseline was used for measurements of the positions.

[5]AIPS, Astronomical Image Processing System, developed and maintained by the NRAO.

3. Results

We find a pulsar position of $\alpha_{J2000} = 08^h35^m20^s.61153 \pm 0.00002$, $\delta_{J2000} = -45°10'34''.8755 \pm 0.0003$ for a reference epoch of 2000.0. The proper motion is $\mu_{\alpha\cos\delta} = -49.61 \pm 0.06$, $\mu_\delta = 29.8 \pm 0.1$ mas yr^{-1} and a parallax of 3.4 ± 0.2 mas, equivalent to a distance of 293^{+19}_{-17} pc.

3.1. Sources of error in phase referenced observations

3.1.1. Source structure of the phase reference source

Whilst strictly the pulsar itself is the phase-reference in this experiment (with a binned flux of 5 and 0.6 Jy at 2.3 and 8.4 GHz) the effect of significant structure is the same in either case. If the source is non-symmetrical the incoming wavefront arriving at the baseline will not have the assumed intrinsic zero phase, degrading the source fitting. Therefore for our final observation we used the full array to allow imaging of both Vela-G and the pulsar. As we were looking for local structure we were able to use selfcalibration.

Following the Chandra observations which unveiled the fine X-ray structure around the pulsar we carefully searched for radio structure at VLBI resolutions in the off pulse data (calibrated with the on pulse phases). We found no discernible structure on baselines between 2.6 and 15.6 Mλ (equivalent to a full width half maximum of 16 – 95 mas) within $10''$ of the pulsar. The peak point source flux was 0.8 mJy/beam in line with expectations given the RMS of 0.1 mJy. This is of no great surprise as the radio structure around the pulsar has been reported to resolve out at resolutions finer than a few arcseconds (Dodson et al. 2003 Accepted; Lewis et al. 2002).

As pulsar radiospheres have angular sizes much less than 1 mas, they may be treated as a point source. (Scattering caused by the ISM may cause observable angular broadening of the pulsar image but is unlikely to bias the observed position significantly). The phase-reference source has not been optically identified but is most likely a distant AGN, and might well have source structure that produces systematic errors in the positions determined at each epoch for the pulsar. The fact that in most observations we used the Vela pulsar as the reference source for the weaker Vela G does makes no essential difference in this respect. With this in mind, the final epoch of our observations was used to image the reference source with the full LBA array. No structure brighter than 1 mJy was found around Vela-G (18 mJy) at 8.4 GHz, with an image RMS of 0.3 mJy. The phase residuals had a RMS of 4°. We note here that Vela-G is a well known extra-galactic source and considered for the ICRF (candidate source ICRF J083322.3-444138) (Ma et al. 1998).

3.1.2. Source structure of the ionospheric delay

A considerable phase error can be caused by the different ionospheric delay encountered over the different antennae. Several approaches exist to address these problems, the best being using multiple frequencies in the VLBI observations and solving for the ionosphere as part of the reduction (Brisken et al. 2000). Unfortunately the S2 system used in our experiments does not have enough spanned bandwidth to allow this approach to be used. We therefore we have looked into using the measured Total Electron Count (TEC) from GPS observations (Walker & Chatterjee 1999). However the best data is on a 5° grid. The separation of our phase-reference and the target source is 0.7° and therefore GPS data cannot provide a useful correction.

As no correction was possible we modeled the data quality using DIFWRAP (Lovell 2000) which allows an error estimate that includes all possible contributions without attempting to identify them. This approach involves exploring a range of model parameters to identifying the range of acceptable fits, which should be the bounds of the multi-dimensional one sigma contour. The errors found are indeed approximately equivalent to one sigma, as confirmed by fact that the proper motion fit has a reduced χ^2 of 1.1.

3.2. Space velocity

Two corrections need to be applied to our results to the true local motion of the Vela pulsar in its local environment. Our observations are directly tied to the ICRF, therefore the solar peculiar motion and the galactic rotation contribute to the observed proper motion, and need to be removed. We have used the solar constants from Dehnen & Binney (1998) of $10 \pm 0.36, 5.25 \pm 0.62, 7.17 \pm 0.38$ km s^{-1} in galactic coordinates. We have used a flat rotation curve ($\Omega_0 = 220$ km s^{-1}, $R_0 = 8.5$ kpc; Fich et al. (1989)), which produces a local proper motion of -5.4 μ_l mas yr^{-1}. This compares with -5.7 μ_l mas yr^{-1} from the local values for the Oort constants, as found by Feast & Whitelock (1997). The corrections are shown in the Table 2. The dominant source of error is from the uncertainties in the solar peculiar motion parameters. We have used the measured uncertainties in our observations and combined those with the models. We have ignored the possibilities of systematic errors or alternate models. This gives us an angular motion, at Vela's local standard of rest, of $\mu_\alpha = -38.5 \pm 1.2$ mas yr^{-1}, $\mu_\delta = 23 \pm 1.5$ mas yr^{-1} or $\mu_* = 45 \pm 1.3$ mas yr^{-1} at a position angle of $301° \pm 1.8$. The pulsars' transverse space velocity is therefore 62 ± 2 km s^{-1}. The PA no longer lies quite along the spin axis (e.g. $310° \pm 1.5$ Helfand et al. (2001), or $307° \pm 2$ Pavlov et al. (2001a)), which may strengthen the case that the impulse timescale was not quite long enough to average the off-axis component to zero.

4. Discussion

4.1. Fitting methods

We used the publicly available proper motion fitting routines, PMPAR[6], created by W. Brisken. As each epoch had quite different observation spans and phase stability great care was required to ensure that the error estimates were accurate. The traditional approach has been to assume that the errors are a fraction of the beam size, but these ignore variations in the observing conditions during the experiment. We used DIFWRAP (Lovell 2000) to measure the complete range of errors and derived error estimates which are realistic. Where we only had the archival positions, and not the data, we have used the median value of the difference between the formal errors and the errors found with DIFWRAP. It is particularly important to get the errors correct, as the effect to be measured is small and the variation between the data quality is large.

De Luca et al. (2000) point out that, as they calculate the proper motion using data collected on nearly identical day-numbers, their proper motion measurement is not contaminated by the parallax. They refer to this as a 'pure' proper motion. This is a concern with very short time baselines, which would blend the parallax and proper motion. We, however, have data spanning seven years and the correlation between the parameters is low.

4.2. Comparison of proper motion with other studies

Our calculated proper motion and parallax can also be compared with recent values obtained by optical proper motion studies and phase-referencing VLBI. We ignore the historic observations, which were blighted by poor resolution and low elevation, and concentrate on the two Radio VLBI observations, this one and Bailes et al. (1989) and the four optical observations, one purely ground based (Nasuti et al. 1997), one ground and HST (Markwardt & Ogelman 1994) and two reports from the HST data set (De Luca et al. 2000; Caraveo et al. 2001) for which we take only the latest results. The results found by each of these studies is shown in Table 3. The table shows the proper motions in right ascension and declination for the pulsar along with the reference for this work. The proper motions listed do not account for the rotation of the Galaxy nor the peculiar motion of the Sun in the local galactic potential being only with reference to the calibrators used. The radio reference is an extra-galactic source, and the optical references are a significant number of field stars.

[6]http://nacho.princeton.edu/w̃alterfb/pmpar/pmpar.html

Both styles of observations are internally consistent to within two standard deviations (see Figure 2), with improvement of errors over time. With the reduction of errors, however, the radio and optical results are steadily becoming less compatible.

The major advantage our observation have over the HST ones (other than the formal resolution being approximately one hundred times better) is that the reference is tied to a well defined reference frame. For the optical observations only field stars were available. The distance to the reference stars in the HST must be significantly greater than that of the pulsar, otherwise they would have had observable parallaxes themselves. Not knowing a distance we have assumed that they lie between two and ten kpc and calculated what the apparent proper motion would be for these limits using the standard flat rotation curve. We find that the galactic rotation contributes between -5 – -3 mas yr^{-1} to the proper motion in l. As all the sources would have proper motions within this range the scatter is within the HST errors of 1 mas. This contribution was not included in the calculations of Caraveo et al. (2001), and when it is the two sets of observations are consistent. Figure 2 includes a line representing the range that having the reference source at two to ten kpc would have contributed to our result. This means that the radio results are now consistent with all the optical results. This contribution, of course, needs to be removed to produce the correct space velocity and position angle for the pulsar.

5. Conclusions

We have measured the proper motion and parallax of the Vela pulsar to an unprecedented accuracy ($\mu_{\alpha\cos\delta} = -49.61 \pm 0.06,\ \mu_\delta = 29.8 \pm 0.1$ mas yr^{-1} , $\pi = 3.4 \pm 0.2$ mas), and have been able to convert these back to the space velocity and position angle of the pulsar in its local environment with greater precision that previously possible (62 ± 2 km s^{-1}at $301^\circ \pm 1.8$), because of the unambiguity in the radio reference frame. This allows the precise comparison of the Vela X-ray nebula symmetry axis and the proper motion of the Vela pulsar, opening insights into the timescale of the core collapse processes.

6. Acknowledgments

The Long Baseline Array is part of the Australia Telescope, funded by the Commonwealth of Australia and operated by ATNF and the University of Tasmania as a National Facility. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Abstract

Service. The author would like to expressly thank Warwick Wilson, head of Engineering for the ATNF, for always being prepared to listen to even the most outlandish correlator configuration request and often implementing them. Dr Brisken provided extremely helpful remarks and comments, as did the referee, Dr Caraveo, and we thank both.

REFERENCES

Bailes, M., Manchester, R. N., Kesteven, M. J., Norris, R. P., & Reynolds, J. 1989, ApJ, 343, L53

Bocchino, F., Maggio, A., & Sciortino, S. 1999, A&A, 342, 839

Brisken, W. F., Benson, J. M., Beasley, A. J., Fomalont, E. B., Goss, W. M., & Thorsett, S. E. 2000, ApJ, 541, 959

Caraveo, P. A., De Luca, A., Mignani, R. P., & Bignami, G. F. 2001, ApJ, 561, 930

Caraveo, P. A. & Mignani, R. P. 1999, A&A, 344, 367

Cha, A. N., Sembach, K. R., & Danks, A. C. 1999, ApJ, 515, L25

Cordes, J. M., Downs, G. S., & Krause-Polstorff, J. 1988, ApJ, 330, 847

De Luca, A., Mignani, R. P., & Caraveo, P. A. 2000, A&A, 354, 1011

Dehnen, W. & Binney, J. J. 1998, MNRAS, 298, 387

Dodson, R., Lewis, D., McConnell, D., & Deshpande, A. 2003 Accepted, MNRAS

Feast, M. & Whitelock, P. 1997, MNRAS, 291, 683

Fich, M., Blitz, L., & Stark, A. 1989, ApJ, 342, 272

Helfand, D. J., Gotthelf, E. V., & Halpern, J. P. 2001, ApJ, 556, 380

Jenkins, E. B. & Wallerstein, G. 1995, ApJ, 440, 227

Lewis, D., Dodson, R., McConnell, D., & Deshpande, A. 2002, in ASP Conf. Ser. 271: Neutron Stars in Supernova Remnants, 191

Lovell, J. 2000, in Astrophysical Phenomena Revealed by Space VLBI, 2000, Eds.: H. Hirabayashi, P.G. Edwards, and D.W. Murphy, Published by the Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, 301–304

Ma, C., Arias, E. F., Eubanks, T. M., Fey, A. L., Gontier, A.-M., Jacobs, C. S., Sovers, O. J., Archinal, B. A., & Charlot, P. 1998, AJ, 116, 516

Markwardt, C. B. & Ogelman, H. B. 1994, Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society, 26, 871

Milne, D. K. 1968, Proceedings of the Astronomical Society of Australia, 1, 93

Nasuti, F. P., Mignani, R., Caraveo, P. A., & Bignami, G. F. 1997, A&A, 323, 839

Page, D., Shibanov, Y. A., & Zavlin, V. E. 1996, in Roentgenstrahlung from the Universe, 173–174

Pavlov, G. G., Kargaltsev, O. Y., Sanwal, D., & Garmire, G. P. 2001a, ApJ, 554, L189

Pavlov, G. G., Sanwal, D., Garmire, G. P., Zavlin, V. E., Burwitz, V., & Dodson, R. G. 2000, American Astronomical Society Meeting, 196, 0

Pavlov, G. G., Zavlin, V. E., Sanwal, D., Burwitz, V., & Garmire, G. P. 2001b, ApJ, 552, L129

Radhakrishnan, V. & Deshpande, A. A. 2001, A&A, 379, 551

Radhakrishnan, V. & Manchester, R. 1969, Nature, 222, 228

Shepherd, M. C. 1997, in ASP Conf. Ser. 125: Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems VI, Vol. 6, 77

Spruit, H. C. & Phinney, E. S. 1998, Nature, 393, 139

Walker, C. & Chatterjee, S. 1999, Ionospheric corrections using GPS based models, VLA Scientific memo 23, Cornell University

---

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.0.



Fig. 1.— The residual offsets in the position for the Vela pulsar, after subtraction of the proper motion, in RA and Declination. Solutions for 13cm (triangles) and 3cm (boxes) observations are in shown with error bars in red (13cm) and green (3cm). The errors are the range of acceptable fits of the to model to the data, as described in the text, and are significantly smaller for the 3cm observations, as would be expected.



Fig. 2.— Vela proper motion determinations in RA and Declination (mas per year) with 2-σ error ellipses. These are labelled with the reference name and row number from Table 2. The effect of adding the correction for the galactic rotation of calibrators for distances between 2 and 10kpc is shown for the our result.

Central frequency MHz	Date	Integration Hours	residual mJy
2290	1993 Apr 24†	13	-
2290	1994 Jun 17†	13	-
2290	1995 Apr 22†	12	-
2290	1996 Jul 14	3	0.6
8425	1996 Jul 14	3	0.9
2290	1996 Nov 23	8	0.7
8425	1996 Nov 23	8	2.6
2290	1997 May 26	10	0.7
8425	1997 May 26	7	2.5
2290	1998 Mar 26	11	2.3
8425	1998 Mar 26	11	1.2
2290	1999 Jan 31	5	0.9
8425	1999 Jan 31	5	0.9
8425	1999 Feb 17	10	1.1
2290	1999 Feb 17	10	1.5
2290	1999 Oct 26	5	1.0
8425	1999 Oct 26	5	2.0
8417	2000 Feb 21	9	1.1

Table 1: Observational details for the data presented here. The image residual after the subtraction of the point source is also quoted. †Mk III observations.

In galactic coordinates	
Observed proper motion	$-53.6 \pm 0.08, -21.9 \pm 0.06$
+Correction for the solar motion	$6.7 \pm 1.6, 4.9 \pm 0.3$
+Correction for the galactic rotation	$5.35 \pm 0.3, 0.0$
Converted back to RA and Dec	$-38.5 \pm 1.2, +23.1 \pm 1.5$

Table 2: Corrections to the observed Vela proper motion.

Table 3: Vela proper motion determinations. Historic and inaccurate observations have not been included. These are the proper motions as seen against the calibrators, i.e. the optical and radio results are aligned to different reference frames.

No.	Method	μ_α (mas yr^{-1})	μ_δ (mas yr^{-1})	Reference
1	Phase-referencing VLBI	-48 ± 4	34 ± 2	Bailes et al. (1989)
2	Ground-based optical & HST	-41 ± 3	26 ± 3	Markwardt & Ogelman (1994)
3	Ground-based optical	-47 ± 3	22 ± 3	Nasuti et al. (1997)
4	HST	-45 ± 1	26 ± 1	Caraveo et al. (2001)
5	This Result	-49.61 ± 0.06	29.8 ± 0.1	